

### **SUMMARY OF EXAMINER INTERVIEW**

Applicants thank Examiner Jason Sims for being available for, and participating in, a telephonic interview that occurred on June 11, 2009 in which the Applicants' representative discussed the differences between the claimed invention and the references cited (Ichikawa, Evans, Reinhoff, and Fey) in support of the 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejection of independent claims 25, 85, and 91. Specifically, Applicants' representative brought to the attention of the Examiner that the inventive aspects of the present invention, including the steps of displaying a specialized GUI, conducting an arbitrage process if genetic test results are unavailable, and determining whether to gain authorization from a physician to order a test or automatically order the test, are now recited by the claims and are not found in the combination of references as cited. During the discussion, Examiner Sims indicated that one or more of the amendments may help clarify the distinction between the claimed invention and the cited references. Further, Examiner Sims indicated that additional clarification of the arbitrage process in the body of the claims may help advance prosecution. Accordingly, this change has been implemented in the appropriate claim.